UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3470 Twelfth Street, Riverside, CA 92501 **CIVIL MINUTES -- GENERAL**

JS-6

Case No.

ED CV 08-01808-SGL(FFMx)

Date: January 29, 2009

Title:

ANGEL MATIAS -v- COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC., ET AL.

PRESENT: HONORABLE STEPHEN G. LARSON, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Jim Holmes

None Present

Courtroom Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFFS:

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANTS:

None present

None present

PROCEEDINGS:

IN CHAMBERS (No Proceedings Held)

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS (DOCKET # 5); ORDER VACATING FEBRUARY 9, 2009, HEARING

On December 16, 2008, defendant filed a motion to dismiss all the claims in the complaint. The caption on defendant's motion showed that the hearing on it was noticed for March 16, 2009. The Court thereafter re-scheduled the hearing on the motion to February 9, 2009. In the order rescheduling the matter to the present hearing date, plaintiff was specifically apprised that she had until January 28, 2009, to file an opposition to the motion to dismiss.

This district's Local Rules provide that "[e]ach opposing party shall, not later than ten (10) days after service of the motion in the instance of a new trial motion and not later than fourteen (14) days before the date designated for the hearing of the motion in all other instances." See Local Rule 7-9. Accordingly, plaintiff had until January 28, 2009, to file an opposition to defendant's motion to dismiss. The time for plaintiff to file her opposition has come and gone without her doing so.

Plaintiff's failure to file an opposition to defendant's motion to dismiss is deemed by this Court as plaintiff's consent to the granting of the same. See Local Rule 7-12 ("The failure to file any required paper, or the failure to file it within the deadline, may be deemed consent to the granting or denial of the motion"); see also Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995)

MINUTES FORM 90 CIVIL -- GEN

Initials of Deputy Clerk: jh

ED CV 08-01808-SGL(FFMx)
ANGEL MATIAS v COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC., ET AL.
MINUTE ORDER of January 29, 2009

(holding that district court did not err in summarily granting defendants' motion to dismiss pursuant to a local rule where the pro se plaintiff had time to respond to motion but failed to do so).

Accordingly, defendant's motion to dismiss is **GRANTED** and all the claims in the complaint are hereby **DISMISSED**.

The previously noticed February 9, 2009, hearing on the motion to dismiss is hereby **VACATED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.